

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 MARK PICOZZI,

4 Plaintiff

Case No. 2:20-cv-00593-GMN-NJK

ORDER

5 v.

6 STATE OF NEVADA et al.,

7 Defendants
8

9 **I. DISCUSSION**

10 On March 23, 2020, Plaintiff appeared to initiate a new action with the Court by
11 submitting a complaint with no case number to the Clerk's Office. (ECF No. 1-1). On
12 March 30, 2020, the Court directed Plaintiff to submit an application to proceed *in forma*
13 *pauperis* or to pay the full filing fee for a civil action to proceed with this case. (ECF No.
14 3). In response, Plaintiff filed a motion for clarification. (ECF No. 4).

15 In the motion for clarification, Plaintiff states that he filed his application to proceed
16 *in forma pauperis* and financial certificate in *Picozzi v. State of Nevada*, 2:20-cv-00518-
17 RFB-BNW. (*Id.* at 1). Plaintiff asserts that all of his documents were supposed to be
18 submitted into that earlier case. (*Id.* at 2).

19 The Court now grants Plaintiff's motion for clarification (ECF No. 4). Based on the
20 motion, it is clear that Plaintiff intended to file the complaint in this case (ECF No. 1-1) in
21 his earlier opened case, 2:20-cv-00518-RFB-BNW. The Court now closes the instant
22 case because it was opened in error. The Clerk of the Court will send Plaintiff a copy of
23 the complaint filed in this case (ECF No. 1-1). If Plaintiff wants to file his complaint in the
24 earlier filed case, he must submit the complaint to the Clerk of the Court with the
25 appropriate case number, 2:20-cv-00518-RFB-BNW. Plaintiff must include case number
26 2:20-cv-00518-RFB-BNW on all documents that he seeks to submit in that case.
27
28

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

2
3

4
5

6
7

9

10
11
12